Revenue (192 /) Departm

Miscellaneous SERIES.

G.O. No. 1089

DATED 16-5-1921

[Despatch Abstract.]

Synopsis [illegible] Kannada - Lawe
[illegible] appointment [illegible]
[illegible] Chelavadi [illegible]

C.F 29 9,678 . 20 11-19. Through the Accountant General

REVENUE **DEPARTMENT.**

Ro 24535 D. VI 26.4.21

Received 26-4- 1921. *Registered* 26 4- 1921

Subject.

Treasury-(South Kanara)-Shroffs-Taluk Offices-Karkal and Uppinangadi

Interchange of posts recommended.

Enclosures.
No.
Spare copies

Endorsement B. No. 1280/21

Station Madras *Dated the* 12th April 1921

From (Name) E. H. Arndt, Esquire, I.C.S.

(Designation) Secretary, Board of Revenue. (Land Revenue)

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department.

Sir, M.E.Couchman, Esquire, I.C.S.,

Commissioner of Land Revenue.

****

Read letter from R.H.Ellis, Esquire, I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara, Ref.No.600/21-V-2, dated 15th March 1921.

****

Endorsement.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Board recommends that the remission of dis-

allowance

disallowance sanctioned in G.O.No.Mis., 705 Revenue, dated 25th March 1921, may in the present case be extended up to the date of introduction of the time scale referred to in O.M.No.1147-D-21-2 dated 20th March 1921 so that each person may remain at his station and get the pay he has been drawing.

Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, with Collector's letter in original, through the Accountant-General.

The Collector of South Kanara.

VR.D./14-4-21

C.F. 29—1,296 24-12-19.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Received ..... 19 Registered B 1280 19

Subject.

Shroffs - Karkal and Uppinangady Taluk office shroffs - pay to be treated temporarily as personal - recommended.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No. 600-21-V2.

Station Mangalore *Dated the* 15th March 1921.

From (Name) R. H. Ellis, Esquire, I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Revenue Department.

Thro' the Secretary to the Board, Land Revenue, Madras.

Sir,

In giving effect to the Government Order No. 116, Revenue, dated 17th January 1919, communicated with B.P. No. 10 Land Revenue, dated 4th February 1919, in which the pay of Taluk shroffs was raised, S. Mangesh Rao, who was then shroff in the Karkal Taluk office on Rs. 15/-, was appointed I Grade Shroff on Rs. 20/- and K. Manjunatha, who was shroff in the Uppinangady Taluk office on Rs. 15/-, as II Grade Shroff on Rs. 18/- according to seniority on 2nd June 1919 and they were allowed to work in the offices where they were, presumably because their pay was considered as personal. The

Accountant-General in his objection statement for November 1920 ordered the retrenchment of Rs. 2/- drawn for the shroff at Karkal on the ground that the sanctioned pay of the shroff attached to Karkal Taluk office was only Rs. 18/- according to the proposition statement sanctioned in G.O. No. 995 Misc., dated 30th April 1920. He was informed that the recovery was unnecessary, as a I Grade Shroff on Rs. 20/- was only doing duty at Karkal and a II Grade Shroff on Rs. 18/- was doing duty in the Uppinangady Taluk office in place of a I Grade Shroff sanctioned for that office and thus no extra cost was incurred. In his further remarks, however, the Accountant-General contends that the pay sanctioned to shroffs is attached to the office and is not personal and that therefore recovery should be made. I consider it undesirable to transfer shroffs from one Taluk to another to the prejudice of office work simply because their pay has been increased. As G.O. No. 172, Revenue, dated 23rd January 1920 however directs that clerks must work in the offices from which they draw their pay, I request that Government may be pleased either to permit both S. Mangesh Rao and ------- K. Manjunatha to remain where they are and to draw their grade pay or to interchange the Rs. 20 and Rs. 18 posts between Karkal and Uppinangady. If the Government think that neither of these arrangements can be sanctioned, I request that sanction may be accorded to allow the present arrangements to continue till the end of May next as the retention of the shroffs in their respective places during the Kist months viz. March, April and May is highly desirable. I also request that the retention of both Mangesh Rao and K. Manjunatha at Karkal and Uppinangady Taluk offices respectively from 30th April 1920 to the end of February last may be approved.

18 3

For Collector.

M.S.R./17-3.

18-3-21

18/3

5

O.F. 226—4.000—11-11-20.

No. S.K.1—1005/ OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George,
Dated 25th April 192 1.

Enclosures.
3

Forwarded.

There is no audit objection to the proposal being approved by Government.

Deputy Accountant-General.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department.

Scott. II-10 (a)—35,106—9-2-20.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

Received in the fair-copying section 16/5

Copied by P.P.H. 16-5-1921.

Examined by 16/5

Signed

Despatched 18/5

Shroffs (South Kanara) — Taluk offices — Karkal & Eppinangadi — Interchange — Remission of overdrawals — Sanctioned.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 705 Rev., dated 28. 3. 1921 (m)

" " " " " " 192 .

RE. LSO:-

C. No. 2453D. From the Board of Rev. C.R. End. No. B. 1280/21 dated 12. 4. 1921 1-4 c.f.

p.5 c.f. " " End. of the A. G. no. S.K. 1-1005/ 25. 4. 1921

" " " " " " 192

ORDER.

No. 1089 dated 16-5- 192 .

Dpf 18/5

Mis. (m)

The Government direct that the sanction conveyed in G.O. no. 705 Rev. dated 28. 3. 1921 should be extended up to the date of introduction of the new time scale, pay in the case of S. Mangesh Rao, shroff in the Karkal taluk office of the South Kanara district.

Rev 3521

DESPATCHED 17 MAY 1921

hm 30.4.21

To the Board of Rev. C.R. Q.10

" A. G. thro. Finance. Q.10

Finance u.o.

hm 30.4.21

Final orders as time-scale have not yet been issued, the date may be specified as mentioned in the draft.

Rev 3521

Fin. up.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT MADRAS GOVT.

Rev. u.o. 2453 D/21-1

5.5.21

Fwd 2144 C

Finance Deptt.

As Govt will not be put to any additional expenditure on account of the adoption of the course suggested in the draft order overleaf, it may be accepted in Finance. It may be observed that it is the intention to give effect to the revised scales of pay in all cases from 1-3-1924.

9/5/24. 10/5/24

Union. v.o. 2144 C-1.
11-5-24.

REVENUE - D
2771
Regd. 12.5.24

To E. S
For orders as to issue.

TOR
12-5-24